

Notes on Project 34.2473

Summary

Good. Note insertions to clarify basis of comparisons.
Qualify shoe comparison as suggested.

Chart

Nicely planned. A good idea.

P. 7, Table 1

Doesn't "Note a" duplicate the entry under "Width" in the Table? See also Tables 2-4. If production in sq. m. is shown first, then derived data such as production and consumption per capita should appear in the same order in this and succeeding Tables.

What is the source of the Soviet foreign trade data?

Were preliminary data used to estimate US foreign trade in cotton cloth? Using the full year data from the June and Febuary issues of the Survey of Current Business, I derive cotton exports of 504 million square yds., which equals about 422 million sq. m. or 451 million linear meters (slide rule calculations), well below the 568 shown in Table 1. By a similar operation I get imports of 127 mil. linear meters, assuming these to be the same average width as US production. Please recheck.

P. 10, Table 2

Widths are shown incorrectly in Note a.

It appears that US 1958 totals were estimated. Totals shown in the June issue of SCB will raise the US output to about 247 million linear meters. Please recheck.

What is the source of the foreign trade data in this and subsequent tables?

P. 12, tabulation

Recheck US data. From CSB cited above I obtain for rayon, 1,490 million linear meters, nylon 272, and silk 23. What is the source of the "other" category?

How was Soviet man-made fiber translated into fabric by type?

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Notes (continued)

P. 13, Table 3

See previous note.

P. 19, Table 6

For US wood pulp I figure 19,600 from CSB cited above, instead of 19,800. Please recheck.

P. 24

Check status of the CIA Fact Book to determine whether it is restricted to members of the Association, or whether it can be cited here.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~